PATENT COOPERATION TREATY







INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's R2647-PCT	s file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International applicat		International filing date (day/mor	
PCT/EP 03/1332		26.11.2003	26.11.2002
International Patent (B01J2/10	Classification (IPC) or	both national classification and IPC	
Applicant UNIVERSITEIT	GENT		
This internat Authority and	ional preliminary ex d is transmitted to th	amination report has been prepa e applicant according to Article	ared by this International Preliminary Examining 36.
2. This REPOF	T consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including this cover	er sheet.
been a (see R	mended and are the	e basis for this report and/or she on 607 of the Administrative Inst	of the description, claims and/or drawings which have ets containing rectifications made before this Authority tructions under the PCT).
	ontains indications	relating to the following items:	
	Priority		
			inventive step and industrial applicability
V 🛛 i	ack of unity of inver		ard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	•		
•• —	Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application		
		on the international application	
Date of submission	of the demand	Date	of completion of this report
27.04.2004		07.0	4.2005
preliminary examini			orized Officer
D-10 Tel	oean Patent Office - Gi 958 Berlin -49 30 25901 - 0 +49 30 25901 - 840	Cub	as Alcaraz, J phone No. +49 30 25901-324

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/13327

I. E	Basis	of the	e report
------	-------	--------	----------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages				
	1-34	l .	as originally filed			
	Clai	ms, Numbers				
	1-38		received on 05.02.2005 with letter of 03.02.2005			
	Dra	wings, Sheets				
	1/2-	2/2	as originally filed			
2. With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Author language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	The	se elements were ava	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:			
		the language of a tra	inslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).			
		the language of publ	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	inslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).			
3.	Witl inte	n regard to any nucle rnational preliminary (otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:			
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.			
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that to in the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.			
		The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.			
4.	The	e amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:			
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/13327

5. ⊔	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
	(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to thi				

is report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2,5,7-15,17,19,21-25,27-32

No: Claims

1,3,4,6,16,18,20,26,33-38

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

1-38

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-38

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

1. The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: US-A-4416606

- 2. The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(1)(2) because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 3 is not new.
- 2.1 Document D1 describes a device for the wet granulation of material (col. 1, lines 5-9). The device comprises (cf. col. 3, line 5-col. 4, line 60; figures 1-5) a housing (reference 1 in the figures), inlet means for the mixture solid-liquid (reference 7 in the figures) and a twin screw system, comprising a first transport zone (reference I in the figures) an agglomeration zone (reference II1 in the figures) and a second transport zone (reference II2). It has to be pointed out that in the present application it is not specified how is the movement (forward or backward) in the second transport zone. A final zone is provided with means for breaking the agglomerates. These last means are not creating a pressure gradient at the outlet of the granulation device, as can be seen clearly in the figures, specially figures 2 and 5. It is obvious that the material inside the device of D1 must be continuouly advanced in order to get the final product. Thus, all the elements of the apparatus as disclosed in claim 1 of the present application can be identified in the apparatus of D1. Accordingly, the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new.
- 2.2 The additional features of claim 3 are only optional. These optional features are also described in D1 (see figures 1-5). Thus, the subject-matter of claim 3 is not new.
- Document D1 also discloses a process for preparing granules using the apparatus and including all the steps of claim 16, which is therefore not new (Article 33 PCT).
- 4. Moreover, the disclosure of D1 anticipates the features of dependent claims 4, 6, 18, 20 and 26. Thus, the subject-matter of these claims is not new (Article 33).
- 5. Claims 33-38 are directed to the granules prepared using the apparatus and/or method of the previous claims, and shaped articles prepared using those granules. Since the apparatus and the method are not new, the granules cannot be considered as new. Furthermore, is has to be noted that, as advantages of the claimed apparatus and method, only economic reasons (less liquid, simple apparatu) are cited. There are no specific characteristics of the granules prepared using the claimed apparatus or method and therefore it is impossible to distinguish the granules prepared according to

the claimed invention from similar granules prepared using a different apparatus or method. Same reasoning applies to the articles prepared using those granules.

6. The dependent claims 2, 5, 7-15, 17, 19, 21-25 and 27-33 do not appear to contain any feature which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33(1)(3)).